for arising by causal condition, as far as activities (sankhārā): "What are activities and whose are these activities?" 184

This again is the teaching on the middle way of neither sameness nor difference, based on arising by causal condition in both arising and ceasing modes. It is similar to the above-noted teachings on the middle way of neither sameness nor difference with regard to self and feeling, and of neither eternalism nor annihilationism.

However, the sutras in question here (SN 12. 35-36 and SA 297) also display a significant difference. SA 297 has the Buddha say that the teaching of the middle way of arising by causal condition is to be called "the dharmadiscourse on great emptiness" (大空法經). ¹⁸⁵ SN 12. 35-36 lack this statement. Thus, only the SA version makes the connection between the middle way of arising by causal condition and the notion of emptiness.

That connection is also made in SA 293, which has no SN counterpart: 186

I teach bhiksus this Dharma: the noble (賢 聖),¹⁸⁷ the supramundane (出世),¹⁸⁸ connected with emptiness (空相應),¹⁸⁹ according to the Dharma of arising by causal condition (緣起隨順法).¹⁹⁰ That is to say: Because this exists, that exists; because this arises, that arises, namely: Conditioned by ignorance are activities ... Thus is the arising of the whole mass of suffering. [And similarly, in the ceasing mode, to] the ceasing of the whole mass of suffering.

... Profound (甚深) 191 is this, namely arising by causal condition. Even more profound, more difficult to see is this, namely the renunciation of all attachment, the extinction of craving, absence of desire, cessation, nirvana (一切取雕、愛盡、無欲、寂滅、涅

¹⁸⁴ SN ii, pp. 62, 64; T 2, p. 84c (CSA ii, p. 37). Cf. Tripāṭhī, p. 154.

¹⁸⁵ T 2, p. 84c (CSA ii, p. 36). Cf. Tripāṭhī, pp. 153, 157: mahāśūnyatānāma dharmaparyāyah.

¹⁸⁶ T 2, p. 83c (CSA ii, pp. 25-26). Cf. Tripāṭhī, Sūtra 11, pp. 138-139.

¹⁸⁷ Skt. āryāyām (p. 138).

¹⁸⁸ Skt. lokottarāyām.

¹⁸⁹ Skt. śūnyatāpratisamyukta; not in the corresponding Tripāthī, Sūtra 11, p. 138.

¹⁹⁰ Skt. pratītyasamutpādānulomatā; not in Sūtra 11, but found in Tripāṭhī, Sūtra 14, p. 149, which corresponds to SA 296: T 2, p. 84b-c (CSA ii, pp. 34-35) = SN 12. 20: SN ii, pp. 25-27.

¹⁹¹ Skt. gambhīram (p. 139).

槃). 192 These two dharmas are namely the compounded and the uncompounded (有爲、無爲). 193

The compounded is arising, persisting, changing, passing away (若生、若住、若異、若滅). ¹⁹⁴ The uncompounded is not arising, not persisting, not changing, not passing away (不生、不住、不異、不滅). ¹⁹⁵

This discourse is mainly saying that the Dharma taught by the Buddha is profound, supramundane (i.e. dealing with nirvana), connected with emptiness, and based on the teaching of arising by causal condition. This text refers to these two profound dharmas, arising by causal condition and the way to nirvana, as the compounded and the uncompounded respectively.

Further on the subject of *arising by causal condition* as profound, at SN 12. 60 Ānanda says he finds that teaching easy to understand, but the Buddha responds that it is indeed *profound* (gambhīra). ¹⁹⁶ Thus, this idea that the dharma of *arising by causal condition* is profound (甚深) is recorded both in SA 293 (above) and in SN 12. 60, neither of which has a counterpart in the other tradition.

To conclude, SN and SA agree that the teaching of arising by causal condition is profound and connected with the notion of the middle way. This middle way is also called right view, understood through the practice of insight, i.e. fully seeing (knowing) both the arising and the ceasing modes of arising by causal condition, and fully seeing conditioned phenomena as not-self or empty of self. The middle way (right view) in both versions is variously shown as avoiding the two extremes: fully seeing neither existence (arising) nor non-existence (ceasing) of the world and suffering, neither sameness nor difference of soul/self and body, neither sameness nor difference of self and feeling, or neither eternalism nor annihilationism with regard to self and feeling.

At the same time, some statements in SA are not shared with SN, namely: (1) the reference to the teaching on the middle way of arising by causal condition as "the dharma-discourse on great emptiness (大空法經)", and (2) the reference to both the middle way of arising by causal condition and

¹⁹² Skt. sarvopadhipratinihsargas tṛṣṇākṣayo virāgo nirodho nirvāṇam.

¹⁹³ Skt. samskrtañ cāsamskrtañ ca.

¹⁹⁴ Skt. saṃskṛtasyotpādo 'pi prajñāyate vyayo 'pi sthityanyathātvam api.

¹⁹⁵ Skt. asamskrtasya naivotpādah prajñāyate na vyayo na sthityanyathātvam.

¹⁹⁶ SN ii, p. 92.